ALF2020, 07.10.2020

# Matrix product state simulations with general non-Abelian symmetries

#### **Miklós Antal Werner**

Budapest University of Technology and Economics

Collaborators:Paşcu Moca (BME & Oradea)Örs Legeza (Wigner RCP)Gergely Zaránd (BME)

[1] MAW, C. P. Moca, Ö. Legeza, and G. Zaránd, arXiv:2007.12418 (accepted to PRB)







#### Outline

- Short introduction to Matrix Product States
- MPS for general non-Abelian symmetries
- NA tensors and their algebraic properties
- Demonstration: NA-TEBD simulation of the post quench dynamics in the SU(3) Hubbard model

#### **Introduction to Matrix Product States**

#### **Generic pure state:**

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_L} C_{\sigma_1\sigma_2...\sigma_L} |\sigma_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\sigma_L\rangle \qquad \dim = d^L$$



Cut in two parts! left = [1, ..., l]right = [l + 1, ..., L]

#### **Schmidt decomposition**

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{a_l} \lambda_{a_l}^{[l]} |a_l\rangle_{\text{left}} \otimes |a_l\rangle_{\text{right}}$$



#### **Introduction to Matrix Product States**

#### Moving the cut:

"Left unitarity":

$$a_{l+1}\rangle_{\text{left}} = \sum_{a_l,\sigma_{l+1}} \mathcal{A}_{a_l\sigma_{l+1}}^{[l]a_{l+1}} |a_l\rangle_{\text{left}} |\sigma_{l+1}\rangle$$

$$\sum_{\sigma,a} \mathcal{A}^{[l]\,a'}_{a\,\sigma} \left( \mathcal{A}^{[l]\,a''}_{a\,\sigma} \right)^* = \delta^{a'}_{a''}$$

#### Left canonical MPS ansatz:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{a_1,\dots,a_{L-1}} \sum_{\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_L} \mathcal{A}_{\sigma_1}^{[1]a_1} \mathcal{A}_{a_1\sigma_2}^{[2]a_2} \dots \mathcal{A}_{a_{L-1}\sigma_L}^{[L]} |\sigma_1\rangle \otimes |\sigma_2\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |\sigma_L\rangle$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{[1]}\mathcal{A}^{[2]}\mathcal{A}^{[3]} \qquad \mathcal{A}^{[L-1]}\mathcal{A}^{[L]}$$

$$\overbrace{a_1 \ \sigma_2 \ \sigma_3}^{[a_{L-1}]} \qquad \overbrace{a_{L-1} \ \sigma_L}^{[a_{L-1}]}$$

**Approximation: truncation** 

$$\begin{split} |\Psi\rangle &\approx \sum_{a_l=1}^{M} \lambda_{a_l}^{[l]} |a_l\rangle_{\text{left}} \otimes |a_l\rangle_{\text{right}} \\ \\ \text{Truncation error:} \quad \sum_{a_l > M} \left|\lambda_{a_l}^{[l]}\right|^2 \end{split}$$

#### **Real time dynamics: the TEBD algorithm**

$$\begin{split} \hat{H} &= \sum_{i} \hat{h}_{i,i+1}^{(2)} = \hat{H}_{\text{even}} + \hat{H}_{\text{odd}} = \sum_{k} \hat{h}_{2k,2k+1}^{(2)} + \sum_{k} \hat{h}_{2k-1,2k}^{(2)} \\ e^{-i\hat{H}\Delta t} &\approx e^{-i\hat{H}_{\text{even}}\Delta t/2} e^{-i\hat{H}_{\text{odd}}\Delta t} e^{-i\hat{H}_{\text{even}}\Delta t/2} \end{split}$$

#### **The Time Evolving Block Decimation algorithm:**



#### **Symmetries**

Symmetry group:  $\mathcal{G}$   $\longleftrightarrow$  Symmetry transformations:  $\hat{U}(q)$   $g \in \mathcal{G}$ 

Symmetric model:

$$\widehat{H}, \mathcal{U}$$

$$\left[\hat{H}, \hat{\mathcal{U}}(g)\right] = 0, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{G}$$

Locally generated symmetries:

 $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(g) = \hat{\mathcal{U}}_1(g) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{U}}_2(g) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathcal{U}}_L(g)$ Single-site transformations

**Examples** 

- U(1) charge
- U(1) spin-z
- SU(2) spin
- SU(3) x U(1)

Color charge

$$\begin{split} \hat{U}_{i}(\varphi) &= e^{i\varphi\hat{n}_{i}} \\ \hat{U}_{i}(\varphi) &= e^{i\varphi\hat{S}_{i}^{z}} \\ \hat{U}_{i}(\varphi,\vec{n}) &= e^{i\varphi\vec{n}\cdot\vec{S}_{i}} \\ \hat{U}_{i}(\varphi,\underline{\mathbf{U}}) &= e^{i\varphi\hat{n}_{i}} \sum_{a,b} \mathbf{U}_{ab} c_{a}^{\dagger} c_{b} \end{split}$$

#### **Symmetries**

#### **Structure of the Hilbert space**

$$\mathcal{H} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ |\Gamma; t, m \rangle \right\}$$

- $\Gamma$  : Representation index
- t : Multiplet index (within sector  $\Gamma$  )
- •m : Internal index,  $m = [1 \dots \dim_{\Gamma}]$

#### Hilbert space of a single site

 $\mathcal{H}_{i} = \operatorname{span}\left\{\left|\Gamma_{i}^{\operatorname{loc}};\tau_{i},\mu_{i}\right\rangle\right\}$ 

#### Schmidt-decomposition of singlet (trivial) states

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\Gamma_l} \sum_{t_l} \sum_{m_l=1}^{\dim_{\Gamma_l}} \lambda^{[l]}(\Gamma_l)_{t_l} |\Gamma_l; t_l, m_l\rangle_{\text{left}} \left|\overline{\Gamma}_l; t_l, \overline{m}_l\right\rangle_{\text{right}}$$

Non singlet states?  $|\Psi_{\Gamma,M}
angle$ 

 States in the conjugate representation

$$\left|\tilde{\Psi}\right\rangle = \sum_{M} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\dim_{\Gamma}}} \left|\Psi_{\Gamma,M}\right\rangle \otimes \left|\overline{\Gamma},\overline{M}\right\rangle$$
 Auxiliary site

#### **Non-Abelian MPS states**

#### Moving the cut



#### Outer multiplicity: $\alpha$

- Labels the multiplets of the same  $\Gamma'$  in the product  $\Gamma\otimes\Gamma^{\mathrm{loc}}.$
- It is always trivial for Abelian groups and also for SU(2).

U(1) charge: 
$$|n_1\rangle \otimes |n_2\rangle = |n_1 + n_2\rangle$$
  
SU(2) spin:  $|S_1\rangle \otimes |S_2\rangle \Rightarrow |S\rangle$ ,  $|S_1 - S_2| \le S \le S_1 + S_2$ 

#### Nontrivial multiplicities for SU(3) (and also SU(n>3))

#### **Non-Abelian MPS states**

$$\begin{split} |\Gamma';t',m'\rangle &= \sum_{\Gamma,\Gamma^{\rm loc}} \sum_{t,\tau} \sum_{\alpha} A(\Gamma,\Gamma^{\rm loc},\Gamma')_{t\,\tau\,\alpha}^{t'} \sum_{m,\mu} C(\Gamma,\Gamma^{\rm loc},\Gamma')_{m\,\mu}^{m'\,\alpha} |\Gamma;t,m\rangle \otimes \left|\Gamma^{\rm loc};\tau,\mu\right\rangle \\ |\Psi\rangle &= \sum_{\{\Gamma_l^{\rm loc}\}} \sum_{\{I_l\}} \sum_{\{t_l\}} \sum_{\{\tau_l\}} \sum_{\{\alpha_l\}} A^{[1]}(\{\Gamma\}^{[1]})_{\tau_1\,\alpha_1}^{t_1} A^{[2]}(\{\Gamma\}^{[2]})_{t_1\,\tau_2\,\alpha_2}^{t_2} \cdots A^{[L]}(\{\Gamma\}^{[L]})_{t_{L-1}\,\tau_L\,\alpha_L} \\ &\sum_{\{m_l\}} \sum_{\{\mu_l\}} C(\{\Gamma\}^{[1]})_{0\,\mu_1}^{m_1\,\alpha_1} C(\{\Gamma\}^{[2]})_{m_1\,\mu_2}^{m_2\,\alpha_2} \cdots C(\{\Gamma\}^{[L]})_{m_{L-1}\,\mu_L}^{\alpha_L} \\ &|\Gamma_1^{\rm loc};\tau_1,\mu_1\rangle \otimes \left|\Gamma_2^{\rm loc};\tau_2,\mu_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \left|\Gamma_L^{\rm loc};\tau_L,\mu_L\rangle\right., \end{split}$$

- The upper layer contains all the relevant information
- Bond-dimension reduction: multiplets vs. states
- Block structure (NA-tensors)



#### **NA-tensors**

 $|\Gamma';t',m'\rangle = \sum \sum \left[ \sum A(\Gamma,\Gamma^{\rm loc},\Gamma')^{t'}_{t\,\tau\,\alpha} \sum C(\Gamma,\Gamma^{\rm loc},\Gamma')^{m'\alpha}_{m\,\mu} |\Gamma;t,m\rangle \otimes \left|\Gamma^{\rm loc};\tau,\mu\right\rangle \right]$  $\Gamma.\Gamma^{
m loc}$  t, au $m,\mu$ 

- Block-sparse tensors, block key:  $\{\Gamma\} = (\Gamma, \Gamma^{\mathrm{loc}}, \Gamma')$
- Matching of irrep labels
  - The A and C tensors on the same site share all three irrep labels
  - The two A tensors on adjacent sites share the "bond" irrep label  $\Gamma_i$
  - The two C tensors on adjacent sites share the "bond" irrep label  $\Gamma_i$



• Dependencies 
$$dep(t_i) = \Gamma_i$$
  $dep(\tau_i) = \Gamma_i^{loc}$   
 $dep(m_i) = \Gamma_i$   $dep(\mu_i) = \Gamma_i^{loc}$   
 $dep(\alpha_i) = (\Gamma_{i-1}, \Gamma_i^{loc}, \Gamma_i)$ 

#### **NA-tensors**



### Motivation to 3)

 $T(\{\Gamma\})_{i_1\dots i_n}^{j_1\dots j_m} \qquad \{\Gamma\} = (\Gamma_1\dots \Gamma_k)$ 

1) Incoming and outgoing legs can be contracted. Their dependencies must match.

2) The result tensor's blocks are labeled by all the irreps, but the matched irrep labels appear just once.

#### Additional rule:

3) If there is one or more representation indices in the result tensor that no remaining (uncontracted) legs depend on, then blocks must be summed over these representation indices.

 $(\Gamma_1, m_1; \Gamma_2, m_2 | \Gamma, M)_{\alpha} [(\Gamma'_1, m'_1; \Gamma'_2, m'_2 | \Gamma, M)_{\alpha}]^* = \delta^{(\Gamma'_1 m'_1 \Gamma'_2 m'_2)}_{(\Gamma_1 m_1 \Gamma_2 m_2)}$  $M, \alpha, \Gamma$  $m_{2}$  $m'_2$ 

#### **Non-Abelian TEBD**



 $U_{\rm red}(\{\Gamma\})_{\tau_1'\alpha_1'}^{\tau_1\alpha_1} \frac{\tau_2\alpha_2}{\tau_2'\alpha_2'}$  $\{\Gamma\} = (\Gamma_{\rm left}, \Gamma_1^{\rm loc}, \Gamma_1^{\rm loc'}, \Gamma_{\rm center}, \Gamma_2^{\rm loc}, \Gamma_2^{\rm loc}, \Gamma_2^{\rm loc'}, \Gamma_{\rm right})$ 

#### **Measurements:**

 $|\Psi_0\rangle$ 

$$\langle n_i(t) \rangle = ?$$
  
 $\langle n_i(t) n_j(t) \rangle = ?$   
 $S_{\rm vN} = ?$ 

#### Technical questions:

- How do these depend on the bond-dimension?
- How long can we simulate?

Data for U=0



Data for U=0



Data for U>0



 $\frac{t = 5.0}{t = 4.5}$ 

t = 4.0

t = 3.5

t = 3.0

t = 2.5

t = 2.0

t = 1.5

t = 1.0

t = 0.5

t = 0.0

16

14

= 0.5

12

10

x

8





#### Numerical efficiency test





- Matrix Product States for general non-Abelian symmetries
- NA tensors and their algebraic properties
  - General objects, do not dependent on a specific symmetry.
  - They have simple contraction rules.
  - Various MPS algorithms can be formulated with them.
- Demonstration: NA-TEBD simulation of the post quench dynamics in the SU(3) Hubbard model
- Test of efficiency: almost two orders of magnitude speedup compared to the best Abelian case

#### Acknowledgements



Gergely Zaránd BUTE



Örs Legeza MTA Wigner RCP



Cătălin Paşcu Moca Univ. Oradea & BUTE

#### **Financial support:**

- Hungarian Quantum Technology National Excellence Program (Project No.2017-1.2.1-NKP-2017- 00001)
- BME-Nanotechnology FIKP/TKP2020 grant (BME FIKP-NAT)
- Supported by the ÚNKP-20-4 New National Excellence Program of the Ministry for Innovation and Technology from the source of the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund.







## Thank you very much for your kind attention!

